

Guideline for carbon footprint calculations of pig meat

Method to calculate greenhouse gas emissions from cradle to slaughterhouse for the Dutch situation.

P.F. Mostert, A. Kool, N. Bondt, J. Snoek

WAGENINGEN UNIVERSITY & RESEARCH Public Report 1504

Guideline for carbon footprint calculations of pig meat

Method to calculate greenhouse gas emissions from cradle to slaughterhouse for the Dutch situation.

P.F. Mostert¹, A. Kool², N. Bondt³, J. Snoek³

Wageningen Livestock Research
 Kool Planet
 Wageningen Economic Research

This research was conducted by Wageningen Livestock Research, commissioned and funded by the Ministry of Agriculture, Fisheries, Food Security and Nature, Coalitie Vitale Varkenshouderij within the framework of the public-private partnership 'Vitale Varkenshouderij' (BO-63-001-046)

Wageningen Livestock Research Wageningen, September 2024

Report 1504



Mostert, P.F., A. Kool, N. Bondt, J. Snoek, 2024. Guideline for carbon footprint calculations of pig meat. Method to calculate greenhouse gas emissions from cradle to slaughterhouse for the Dutch situation. Wageningen Livestock Research, Public Report 1504.

Summery UK. This report is a guideline to calculate greenhouse gas emissions of pig meat from cradle to slaughterhouse for the Dutch situation. Calculation rules for the carbon footprint of Dutch pig meat are described for every stage, up to and including the slaughterhouse. These calculation rules comply as much as possible to product environmental footprint guidelines. Finally, some strengths and limitations of this guideline are described.

This report can be downloaded for free at https://doi.org/10.18174/672525 or at <u>www.wur.nl/livestock-research</u> (under Wageningen Livestock Research publications).

CC BY-NC

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial 4.0 International License.

© Wageningen Livestock Research, part of Stichting Wageningen Research, 2024

The user may reproduce, distribute and share this work and make derivative works from it. Material by third parties which is used in the work and which are subject to intellectual property rights may not be used without prior permission from the relevant third party. The user must attribute the work by stating the name indicated by the author or licensor but may not do this in such a way as to create the impression that the author/licensor endorses the use of the work or the work of the user. The user may not use the work for commercial purposes.

Wageningen Livestock Research accepts no liability for any damage resulting from the use of the results of this study or the application of the advice contained in it.

Wageningen Livestock Research is ISO 9001:2015 certified.

All our research commissions are in line with the Terms and Conditions of the Animal Sciences Group. These are filed with the District Court of Zwolle.

Public Wageningen Livestock Research Report 1504

Table of contents

| 1 | Intro | duction | 5 |
|---|-------|---|----|
| | 1.1 | Objective | 7 |
| | 1.2 | Readers Guide | 7 |
| 2 | Scien | tific requirements for carbon footprint calculations | 9 |
| | 2.1 | Introduction to Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) | 9 |
| | 2.2 | Goal & scope of the LCA | 11 |
| | | 2.2.1 Goal | 11 |
| | | 2.2.2 Scope | 11 |
| | 2.3 | Inventory analysis | 13 |
| | | 2.3.1 Emissions from feed production | 13 |
| | | 2.3.2 Emissions at sow breeding system, pig fattening system, and | |
| | | slaughterhouse | 14 |
| | | 2.3.3 Emissions from others | 16 |
| | 2.4 | Impact assessment | 17 |
| 3 | Carbo | on footprint calculation in practice | 18 |
| 4 | Stren | gths and limitations of this guideline | 27 |
| 5 | Refer | ences and websites | 28 |
| Appendix I: Overview of data requirements and sources | | | |

1 Introduction

The Dutch pork sector has set the goal to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions in their sector. To obtain these goals, several stakeholders within the Dutch pork sector started to calculate GHG emissions using life cycle assessment (LCA). LCA is a method to quantitatively assess the environmental impact of a product or process considering its complete life cycle (or part of it). Results of an LCA can be used to find possibilities to reduce the environmental impact and to inform other stakeholders. Guidance in using the LCA method is needed because users have to make choices about e.g. input and background data, equations to calculate emissions, system boundary, and several other assumptions. Ideally, a detailed LCA standard guideline can be followed to reduce inconsistencies in environmental calculations within a sector. Several LCA guidelines such as Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) (EC, 2021), Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance (LEAP) (FAO, 2018), Category rules red meat (UECBV et al., 2019), or protocols such as GHG protocol and Science Based Target Initiative (SBTI) have been developed. The PEF is an European LCA guideline commissioned by the European Commission. Having the same LCA standard (e.g. PEF) and using the same background data reduces inconsistencies between countries and different production sectors, although it must be mentioned that PEF and the LCA method are in general not meant for comparing between different type of products. In the Netherlands the dairy- and feed sector embraces the PEF standard. Subsequently, PEF category rules (PEFcr) standard have been developed for these sectors which describe in more detail the calculation rules and use of background data (EDA, 2018; FEFAC, 2018). Currently there is no PEFcr standard for the pork sector. Without one accepted LCA guideline for the pork sector, different stakeholders in the Dutch pork sector used different background datasets and made different methodological choices to calculate GHG emissions. This resulted in incomparable results and was noticed within the Dutch pork sector. Subsequently differences between three stakeholders (Van Loon Group, Vion Food Group, Westfort) in the Dutch pork sector were firstly explored (Vellinga et al., 2023) and secondly calculation rules were analyzed in detail (Kool and Gort, 2024). These two studies showed a need to have one guideline for the Dutch pork sector. This study will describe harmonized calculation rules of the carbon footprint of pig meat for stakeholders in the Netherlands.

1.1 Objective

The objective of this study is

- To describe calculation rules for the carbon footprint of Dutch pig meat, up to and including the slaughterhouse
- These calculation rules comply as much as possible to PEF guidelines (EC, 2021)

1.2 Readers Guide

In chapter 2, LCA and existing LCA guidelines are introduced, goal and scope of the LCA are determined, data collection and impact assessment are described. Chapter 3 describes how the GHG emissions shall be calculated for the different stages in the chain. In chapter 4, strengths and limitations of this guideline are described.

The term 'shall' is used to indicate what is a required to be in conformance with this guideline. The term 'should' is used to indicate a recommendation, but not a requirement.

The term 'may' is used to indicate an option that is permissible or allowable.

If a user of this guideline deviates from a requirement of this guideline, this must be mentioned when reporting GHG emissions.

2 Scientific requirements for carbon footprint calculations

2.1 Introduction to Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

A carbon footprint is the sum of all greenhouse gas emissions that can be attributed to a product, expressed in kg of carbon dioxide equivalents (CO₂e or CO₂-eq) per unit of product. A method for calculating a carbon footprint is life cycle assessment (LCA). In an LCA all processes and related emissions in the pork production chain are included. Various standards have been developed for this method. The ISO14040 (ISO, 2006a) and ISO14044 (ISO, 2006b) in particular provide the basic rules that are followed by all LCA experts. It states that the following steps must be followed:

- **Goal & Scope definition**: the goal of the LCA must first be determined and all methodological choices are then made based on the goal.
- **Inventory analysis**: in this section data collection is described, supplemented with secondary data from background databases and literature. Calculation rules to estimate GHG emissions are described.
- **Impact assessment**: all environmental interventions are converted into indicators in this step; in the case of climate change, all greenhouse gas emissions are converted into kg carbon dioxide equivalents by using characterisation factors.
- **Interpretation**: the final step is interpretation of the results; methodological choices are generally reconsidered here and additional data questions are formulated, so that the first three steps must be repeated in an iterative process (Figure 2.1).

These four steps will be elaborated in the following four sections.



Figure 2.1 Phases of a Life Cycle Analysis (source: ISO, 2006a).

In addition to the basic rules of the ISO standards 14040/44, there are specific guidelines and methodological rules for LCAs of pig supply chains and pig meat published by various parties: **LEAP guidelines**: The FAO published a report in 2018 in the context of the multi-stakeholder initiative LEAP for the implementation of LCAs for a report in 2018 in the context of the multi-stakeholder

initiative LEAP for the implementation of LCAs from pig supply chains (FAO, 2018).

- **Draft PEFCR standard for red meat**: A consortium of meat processing companies and the European trade association UECBV drafted a standard in the context of the Single Market for Green Products initiative (also known as the Environmental Footprint initiative) of the European Commission, for environmental footprints of red meat (including pork), referred to as the Product Environmental Footprint Category Rules (PEFCR) of Red Meat (UECBV et al., 2016).
- **Official PEFCR standard for animal feed**: From the same initiative, a consortium of animal feed companies and the European branch organisation FEFAC published an official standard for the environmental footprint of animal feed, the PEFCR animal feed (FEFAC, 2018).
- **Rules for drafting PEFCR standards**: The European Commission's Joint Research Center (JRC) published a report in 2019 with rules for implementing Product Environmental Footprints (PEF) and developing product-specific rules (PEFCRs), including specific rules for pig farming and slaughtering/meat cutting (Zampori and Pant, 2019). In 2021, an update was released (EC, 2021).
- **Alternative rules UECBV**: The UECBV has published a report with alternative rules for calculating the environmental footprint of red meat (UECBV et al., 2019), which deviate from the rules drawn up by the European Commission (EC, 2021).
- **Carbon footprint pig production;** *DATA-FAIR report on exchange of sustainability information in the pork supply chain:* Wageningen Economic Research has published a report with calculation rules for the carbon footprint of Dutch pig production (Bondt et al., 2020)

Other relevant guidelines (not specifically for pig meat production) are GHG protocol (GHG protocol, 2024), ISO 14067:2018 (ISO, 2018), and PAS 2050 standard (BSI,2011). The CFP calculations here will follow as closely as possible the rules of the European Commission (EC, 2021) and the PEFCR for animal feed (FEFAC, 2018).

2.2 Goal & scope of the LCA

2.2.1 Goal

The goal of the LCA is to report the carbon footprint of pig meat products to supply chain partners. Pig farmers can monitor the carbon footprint of their product over time and compare them with a benchmark.

2.2.2 Scope

System boundaries

The system boundaries describe which processes are included to calculate the carbon footprint of pig meat.

In this guideline, GHG emissions are estimated from cradle to sow breeding farm, cradle to fattening pig farm, and cradle to slaughterhouse. Figure 2.2 shows all stages from cradle up to and including the slaughterhouse, where the carcass is produced. Table 2.1 describes what is included and excluded in the main life cycle stages.



Figure 2.2 System boundaries and stages included in the production and processing of pig meat products.

| Table 2.1 Short description of me cycle stages and meladed and excluded processes. | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Life cycle stage | Included processes | Excluded processes | | | |
| Feed production | This stage includes extraction of raw materials, cultivation of crops (e.g. production and application of fertilizer, transport and application of animal manure, energy use), processing of crops, storage, transport, feed mill. | | | | |
| Sow breeding system | This stage includes growth of (rearing) sows and piglets, enteric fermentation from animals, manure storage and processing, energy use and production, water use. | Capital goods. Processing of dead animals. Medication. Transport and application of animal manure of the farm. | | | |
| Pig fattening system | This stage includes growth of (growing finishing) pigs, enteric fermentation from animals, manure storage and processing, energy production and use, water use. | Capital goods. Processing of dead animals. Medication. Transport and application of animal manure of the farm. | | | |
| Slaughterhouse | This stage includes the slaughter process of animals, energy production and use, water use. | Capital goods. Packaging and further processing of carcass. Treatment of slaughter waste. Slaughter process of sows. | | | |
| Transport | Between the different life cycle stages mode of transportation is included. | Construction and maintenance of infrastructure. Transport of sows. | | | |
| | | | | | |

| Table 2.1 Short description of life cycle stages and included and excluded proc | cesses |
|---|--------|
|---|--------|

Excluded processes within the system boundary

Some processes within the system boundary are excluded. The PEF rules (EC, 2021) state that processes that contribute up to a total of 3% to the overall environmental impact may be excluded. A very small contribution can be estimated by the mass contribution. For example, the production and transport of antibiotics likely have an insignificant contribution due to the very small mass contribution. Some related activities, such as transport of the veterinarian and of hired workers are usually not included, because of a likely small contribution and high costs for collecting such data. This can also be expected for capital goods. The capital goods and their maintenance are depreciated over the number of expected years of use. Although limited data are currently available about this, a small contribution is expected and therefore capital goods are excluded. This is also excluded in PEFcr dairy (IDA, 2018). At slaughterhouse, packaging and further processing of the carcass, and treatment of slaughter waste is excluded.

Functional unit

The functional unit defines the qualitative and quantitative aspects of the function(s) and/or service(s) provided by the product being evaluated (EC, 2021). There are two different functional unit at the different stages

- 1. At sow breeding system and pig fattening system, emissions are expressed per 1 kg liveweight (i.e. piglet, sow, growing finishing pig) leaving the farm (cradle-to-farm-gate).
- 2. At slaughterhouse stage (cradle-to-slaughterhouse-gate), emissions are expressed per 1 kg fresh meat leaving the slaughter process.

Allocation

When different products leave the system, allocation is required. Allocation is a method to divide emissions over the different products. Several co products leave the system at the sow breeding system (sows, piglets, and manure), the pig fattening system (growing finishing pigs and manure), and the slaughterhouse (fresh meat and slaughter by-products). To comply to the PEF standard, economic allocation shall be applied. Manure is considered by default as residues (no economic value) with no upstream burden allocated. Emissions from transport and application of manure off the livestock farm are allocated to the user of the manure (e.g. digester or crop farmer). For economic allocation of the various products delivered by the sow breeding system, the Dutch prices based on KWIN 2023-2024 shall be used (5 year averages) (Vermeij et al., 2023), namely \in 47.50 per piglet of 25 kg, \in 1.30 per kg higher or lower weight of the piglet, \in 181.84 per sow of 230 kg live weight, \notin 146.26 per rearing sow (6 months of age).

In the sow breeding system, the fraction of economic value coming from all sold sows compared to the economic value of all sold sows and piglets in that year reflects the allocation factor. For example, if the sows represent 5% of the total economic value, then 95% of the total carbon footprint should be allocated to the piglets and 5% to the sows.

At the slaughterhouse, the European Commission has prescribed economic allocation for the distribution of emissions over fresh meat and slaughter by-products (Table 2.2).

| Table 2.2 | Standard European prices for fresh pork and slaughter by-products (EC, 2021), the mass |
|-----------|--|
| | fractions, and allocation fractions. |

| Part | Mass fraction (F) | Price (P) | Economic allocation (EA) |
|---------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------------|
| | (%) | (€/kg) | (%) |
| a) Fresh meat and edible offal | 67 | 1.08 | 98.67 |
| b) Food grade bones | 11 | 0.03 | 0.47 |
| c) Food grade fat | 3 | 0.02 | 0.09 |
| d) Cat. 3 slaughter by-products | 19 | 0.03 | 0.77 |
| e) Hides and skins (cat. 3) | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 100 | | 100 |

Emissions from a digester on or off farm

If pig manure is treated in an anaerobic digester on farm or off farm, emissions related to manure storage on farm, anaerobic digestion process on or off farm, and storage of digestate on farm or off farm shall be allocated. Emissions related to manure storage on- or off farm are allocated to the pig farm.

The emissions related to the anaerobic digestion process shall be allocated to the electricity and heat produced. If a farmer uses energy from the digester, this emission factor (CO_2e/MJ) for energy from the digester should be calculated and used (Chapter 3). If this is not possible, a default emission factor may be used.

The residues of the anaerobic digestion (digestate) can be stored on farm, off farm, or can be processed. No LCA guideline describes specifically the allocation of these emissions. Therefore, we follow the PEF guidelines that states that emissions up to the farm gate are allocated to the other outputs of the farm where manure is produced. To have equal allocation rules for an on farm and off farm digester, emissions related to the storage of digestate on- or off farm are allocated to the pig farm. Emissions factors for storage of digestate are based on IPPC (2019). If digestate is further processed, than these emissions are allocated to digestate.

Energy production from wind, solar, on farm or at the slaughterhouse

Energy production on the farm or slaughterhouse is considered as a separate process. For energy from e.g. wind, solar, or a digester that is produced on the farm, only the energy that is used on the farm shall be included. Energy that is sold from or not used by the farm is excluded as the user of this energy shall include these emissions. This avoids double counting.

2.3 Inventory analysis

The inventory analysis describes the required data and the calculation rules for GHG emissions. Primary data, that shall be collected for the sow breeding, pig fattening and slaughterhouse can be found in Appendix I. If primary data are not available, defaults shall be used. Emission factors shall be collected from secondary datasets. These can also be found in Appendix I. More details about required data and calculation methods per life cycle can be found in chapter 3.

2.3.1 Emissions from feed production

Feed ingredients can be cultivated on farm and off farm. Required input data that shall be collected are total purchased feed ingredients and compound feed for a specific year. There shall be no correction for stock changes in feed, meaning all purchased feed for a specific year shall be included. Geographical origin of different feed ingredients shall be collected and if not available a standard market mix shall be used. If there are feed ingredients cultivated and produced on the pig farm (called home grown feed in this guide), total amount of these feed ingredients used for pig production shall also be included. For emission factors from feed ingredients, the Nevedi list¹ shall be used. This list follows the PEFcr feed (FEFAC, 2018). The Nevedi list includes all GHG emissions until the feed ingredient enters the pig farm and includes different origins of feed ingredients. All feed companies that are member of Nevedi have access to this list and can report per feed ingredient or per compound feed the carbon footprint. If users have no access to the Nevedi list, the Global Feed LCA Institute¹ (GFLI) database shall be used, that also follows the PEFcr feed (FEFAC, 2018). The GFLI database, however, does not include emissions from transport of feed ingredients to the Netherlands and to the pig farmer. Also, emissions at the feed factory are excluded, and therefore these emissions (i.e. from transport and feed factory) shall be included according to PEFcr feed (FEFAC, 2018). If emission factors of feed ingredients are not available on Nevedi list or GFLI database, FeedPrint (Vellinga et al., 2013) should be used. If emission factors of feed ingredients are not available on any of these lists, a crop group average should be taken if available. If also no group average is available or users have no access to the different lists or databases, default values for single feed ingredients, wet-byproducts, and compound feed may be taken (Appendix I) (SFR, 2024). If users of this guideline do not use the Nevedi list, or GFLI database, or use default values for single feed ingredients, wet-byproducts, or compound feed, than users shall report this when communicating results.

2.3.2 Emissions at sow breeding system, pig fattening system, and slaughterhouse

Emissions from enteric fermentation and manure storage occur at sow breeding system and pig fattening system. Emissions from energy use occur at sow breeding system, pig fattening system, and slaughterhouse. Calculation methods of GHG emissions and emission factors shall be in line with IPCC method (IPCC, 2019). However, calculation methods and emission factors used by the National Emission Model for Agriculture (NEMA) shall be used in this guideline if these are proved to be more accurate for the Dutch situation than the IPCC method.

Methane emissions from enteric fermentation

The methane emissions from enteric fermentation of pigs should be calculated with a TIER 2 approach (IPCC, 2019). Required input data are total feed ingredients (purchased and used home grown feed) for piglets, sows (and rearing), growing finishing pigs, and gross energy (GE) content per feed ingredient or compound feed. GE should be collected per feed ingredient or compound feed. If GE is not available, default values may be used (Appendix I).

If required data for a TIER 2 approach are not available, a TIER 1 approach may be used, which is a fixed emission factor per animal per year. This is set at 1.5 kg CH₄/animal place/year (IPCC, 2019). It is not allowed to use different TIER levels for different animal categories (e.g. piglets, sows, growing finishing pigs), so either TIER 1 or TIER 2 is used for all animals in the chain.

Nitrous oxide emissions from manure storage

Direct nitrous oxide emissions and indirect nitrous oxide emissions shall be calculated with a TIER 2 approach (IPCC, 2019). Required input data that shall be collected are total feed ingredients (purchased and used home grown feed), crude protein of total feed ingredients, digestibility of crude protein (DCCP, VCRE in Dutch), sold animals, nitrogen content of meat, housing and manure management system. Total nitrogen excretion shall be calculated based on nitrogen intake from feed ingredients and nitrogen retention in the animals. Total Ammoniacal Nitrogen (TAN) shall be calculated based on nitrogen intake, VCRE, and nitrogen retention. Emission factors for direct and indirect nitrous oxide emissions shall be used from table 2.3 and table 2.4.

If VCRE of feed ingredients cannot be collected per feed ingredient or compound feed, a default value for VCRE should be used (Appendix I). Defaults of VCRE values were provided by the sector (SFR, 2024) (Appendix I).

 $^{^{1}\}ensuremath{\,\text{Using}}$ Nevedi list or GFLI database can result in license costs

 Table 2.3
 NH₃-N emission factor per housing type (% NH₃-N/ TAN-excretion) (Bruggen et al., 2023)

| 2023). | |
|--|------|
| Livestock category | 2021 |
| Sows incl piglets up to 25 kg | |
| regular housing | 26.5 |
| air scrubber | 6.9 |
| low emission floor and/or manure pit | 17.7 |
| Breeding boars | |
| regular housing | 26.2 |
| air scrubber | 5.7 |
| low emission floor and/or manure pit | 26.2 |
| Fattening pigs | |
| regular housing | |
| pit underneath slatted - and solid floor, $\leq 1m^2/animal$ place | 47.3 |
| pit underneath slatted - and solid floor,> 1m ² /animal place | 57 |
| pit underneath slatted floor, $\leq 1m^2/animal place$ | 31.9 |
| pit underneath slatted floor, $>1m^2/animal$ place | 37.7 |
| low emission housing | |
| air scrubber $\leq 1 \text{ m}^2/\text{animal place}$ | 7.2 |
| air scrubber > 1 m ² /animal place | 8.5 |
| floor and/or pit adaptation, $\leq 1 \text{ m}^2/\text{animal place}$ | 29.2 |
| floor and/or pit adaptation, > 1 m ² /animal place | 32.6 |
| | |

Table 2.4Methane Conversion Factor (MCF), and N2O, NO emission factors dependent on manure
storage system and duration.

| Manure management system ⁶ | MCF ¹ | N ₂ O (kg N ₂ O-N/kg N) ¹ (EF ₃) | NO (kg NO- N/kg N) ² | |
|---|------------------|--|------------------------------------|-------|
| | Daily | 0.036 ³ | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| | 1 month | 0.13 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| Liquid/slurry, and pit storage below animal | 3 months | 0.24 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| confinement | 4 months | 0.29 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| | 6 months | 0.36 ⁴ | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| | 12 months | 0.55 | 0.002 | 0.002 |
| Pasture/range/paddock | | 0.0047 | 0.004 | 0.215 |

1: IPCC 2019

2: Bruggen et al., 2023

3: Based on Booijen et al., 2023

4: Groenestein et al., 2016

5: Also includes NH₃-N, IPCC 2019

6: Other manure managements systems and related factors can be found in IPCC 2019, table 10.17 (MCF), table 10.21 (N_2O)

Methane emissions from manure storage

The methane emissions from manure storage shall be calculated with a TIER 2 approach (IPCC, 2019). Required input data that shall be collected are organic matter, digestibility of the organic matter (DOM, in Dutch VCOS), crude protein, and digestibility of crude protein (DCCP, in Dutch VCRE) of the feed ingredients (purchased and used home grown feed), manure management system and duration of storage of manure. Methane conversion factors (MCF) shall be used from table 2.4. Calculating volatile solids based on excretion of Total Ammoniacal Nitrogen (TAN) and digestible organic matter proves to be more accurate for the Dutch situation than the IPCC method (Zom and Groenestein, 2015) and this method shall be used to calculate volatile solids excretion. This method is also used by the National Emission Model for Agriculture (NEMA) and ANCA (Kringloopwijzer) tool that is used by the dairy sector.

If VCOS of feed ingredients cannot be collected per feed ingredient (purchased and used home grown feed), a default value for VCOS should be used. Defaults of VCOS values were provided by the sector (SFR, 2024) (Appendix I).

Emissions from the digester and storage of digestate

If pig manure is treated in an anaerobic digester, emissions occur during the process (leakage) and storage of the pig manure (digestate) afterwards. Data are available about the impact of treating manure in an anaerobic digester on CH_4 emissions. Therefore, if manure is treated in a digester, CH_4 emissions from manure storage, during the process (leakage), and from digestate storage shall be included.

Methane emissions from manure storage shall be calculated with a TIER 2 approach (IPCC, 2019) and required input data that shall be collected are described in chapter 'Methane emissions from manure storage'. However, MCF is different when slurry is daily removed and treated in a digester. Currently, IPCC (2019) gives no MCF value for removing slurry daily. Research in the Netherlands showed a high reduction of methane emissions when removing slurry daily from storage (Booijen et al., 2023). Based on this study, a minimum of 90% reduction in methane emissions from manure storage can be expected compared to storing the manure for 6 months. This reduction is included in the MCF for daily removal of slurry (Table 2.4).

Emissions that occur during the process (leakage) in the digester are assumed to be 4.3% from the total methane production in the digester. These emissions are allocated to energy production. Also, methane emissions occur during storage of the digestate. Different MCF for storage of digestate with different gastight storage level shall be used from IPCC (Annex 10A.4, table 10A.11, IPCC 2019). Limited data about emissions of NH₃, N₂O, and NO, during the storage of digestate are available. Because these gases have a limited impact on total GHG emissions, this will not be further elaborated and these gases shall be included as if there was no digester.

Emissions from energy use on the farm and slaughterhouse

Required input data that shall be collected are energy use of electricity and heat. The amount from renewable energy (green) sources and fossil fuel-based energy (grey) sources shall be clear. The electricity production mix should be adjusted to make it more specific. Energy production on farm or on slaughterhouse from wind, solar, or digester shall be collected and use of energy from these sources on farm or slaughterhouse shall be collected. Sold energy produced on the farm or slaughterhouse shall not be accounted for. Emissions factors for energy use and production on farm or slaughterhouse shall be used from co2emissiefactoren.nl.

2.3.3 Emissions from others

Type of transport shall be collected. For transport, co2emissiefactoren.nl shall be used. Depending on type of transport and load fraction, emission factors can be selected. For tap water, European reference Life Cycle Database (ELCD) database shall be used.

2.4 Impact assessment

The sixth assessment report showed the latest GWP potential factor for methane and nitrous oxide (IPCC, 2021).

Methane and nitrous oxide are expressed in CO₂ equivalents using the factors from table 2.5.

 Table 2.5
 GWP100 factors methane and nitrous oxide from the IPCC AR6 report (IPCC, 2021)

| Greenhouse gas | GWP100 factor (kg CO2e/kg) |
|-------------------|----------------------------|
| Carbon dioxide | 1 |
| Methane, biogenic | 27 |
| Methane, fossil | 29.8 |
| Nitrous oxide | 273 |

3 Carbon footprint calculation in practice

Chapter 2 described the LCA method and the options for calculating the carbon footprint with the required data. This chapter describes how to calculate GHG emissions in the different stages up to the slaughterhouse. Required activity data and background data can be found in Appendix I. Figure 3.1 serves as a framework for the final calculation of the carbon footprint (CFP), expressed in CO_2 equivalents per kg of fresh meat at the slaughterhouse. The calculation steps correspond to the numbers in the diagram.



Figure 3.1 Schematic overview of the calculation of greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of pig meat from cradle to slaughterhouse.

Calculation 1. GHG emissions from feed production of the sow breeding system

Emissions from feed production shall be calculated for feed ingredients (purchased and used home grown feed). Calculation 1 shows how the calculation shall be performed for one feed ingredient from one country of origin. This calculation shall be repeated as many times as feed ingredients have been purchased per country of origin, on the basis of the total quantities purchased in the relevant calendar year (January 1 to December 31). There shall be no correction made for change in stock.

For farms growing (a part of) their own feed, emissions factors for feed ingredients shall be taken from the same databases as if they bought it.

Calculation 1: GHG emissions from feed production (kg CO₂ equivalents/year)

Feed ingredient A from country-of-origin B, in kg per year x Emissions feed ingredient (CO₂ equivalents per kg) =

Carbon footprint in kg CO_2 equivalents for the total purchased feed A from country B

Please make sure that the units in the calculation match.

Additional calculations when using GFLI database

The GFLI database does not include transport of feed ingredients to the pig farms and does not include energy use in the feed mill. Therefore, additional data collection and calculations are required if GFLI database is used for calculation of emissions for production of feed until the feed arrives at the sow breeding system or pig fattening system. The required data and calculations can be found in FEFAC (2018) and will not be further elaborated in this guideline.

2. GHG emissions from manure storage of the sow breeding system

In the calculation of GHG emissions from the manure storage, nitrous oxide (calculation 2a) and methane (calculation 2b) shall be calculated separately and subsequently summed to total emissions from manure storage (calculation 2c). For calculation of nitrous oxide emissions, first excretion of total nitrogen and of total ammonia nitrogen (TAN) shall be calculated.

Calculation 2a: Nitrous oxide emissions from manure storage (kg N₂O/ year)

| N_2O total farm = $N_2Odir + N_2Oindir$ | |
|---|--|
| $N_2Odir = Nex \times EF_3 \times 44/28$ | |
| N ₂ Oindir = ((NH ₃ -N + NO-N) x EF ₄ + N leaching x EF ₅) x 44/28 | |
| $NH_3-N = TAN \times EF_{NH3-N}$ | |
| TAN = TANurine + TANmin - TANimmob | |
| TANurine = Nintake x VCRE - Nretention | |
| TANmin= (Nex - TANurine) x frac slurry x 0.1 | |
| TANimmob= TANurine x frac solid manure x 0.25 | |
| NO-N= Nex x EF _{NO-N} | |
| N leaching= FracLeach x Nex (on range/pasture/paddock) | |

 N_2 Odir = direct nitrous oxide emissions from manure storage (kg N_2 O/year) Nex = Nitrogen excretion (kg N/year)

Nex = Nintake (kg N/year) – Nretention (kg N/year)

Nintake = nitrogen intake from total feed ingredients (purchased and used home grown feed) (kg N/year)

Nintake = total feed ingredients (purchased and used home grown feed) (kg/year) x CP/6.25/1000 (kg N/year)

CP = average crude protein (CP) content of total feed ingredients (purchased and used home grown feed) (g CP/kg)

Nretention = nitrogen part that is retained in sold animals per year (kg N/year) Nretention = (sold animals x nitrogen content animals – purchased animals x nitrogen content animals)/1000 (kg N/year)

Sold animals = total kilogram liveweight sold animals (kg LW/year) (for different animal categories (e.g. piglets, sows, growing finishing pigs))

Purchased animals = total kilogram liveweight purchased animals (kg LW/year) (for different animal categories (e.g. piglets, sows, growing finishing pigs))

Nitrogen content animals = Nitrogen content animal (g N/kg LW) (for different animal categories (e.g. piglets, sows, growing finishing pigs)), (Appendix Table A.3, A.5)

 EF_3 = Emission factor (kg N₂O-N /kg N excreted) for manure management system (Table 2.4) 44/28 = conversion factor from kg N₂O-N to kg N₂O

 N_2 Oindir = indirect nitrous oxide emissions from volatilisation of ammonia and leaching of nitrate from the manure (kg N_2 O/year)

NH₃-N = ammonia nitrogen (kg NH₃-N/year)

NO-N = nitrogen oxide nitrogen (kg NO-N/year)

EF4 = Nitrous oxide emission factor for indirect emission following atmospheric deposition of NH₃ and NOx (kg N₂O-N /(kg NH₃-N + NOx-N volatilised)), by default 0.014 (IPCC, 2019)

 $EF5 = N_2O$ leaching emission factor (kg N₂O-N/ kg N leaching/runoff), by default 0.011 (IPCC, 2019)

TAN = total ammonia nitrogen excretion and is the sum of excretion of Urine-N (TANurine) and mineralisation (TANmin) or immobilisation (TANimmob) of N (kg TAN/year)

TANurine = total ammonia nitrogen of excretion of Urine-N (kg TAN/year)

TANmin = total ammonia nitrogen produced by net mineralisation (kg TAN/year)

TANimmob = immobilisation of total ammonia nitrogen (kg TAN/year)

 $EF_{NH3-N} = NH_3-N$ emission factors for pig housing (% NH₃-N/kg TAN excretion) (Table 2.3)

 $EF_{NO-N} = NO-N$ emission factor (kg NO-N/kg N excretion) (Table 2.4)

VCRE = digestibility of crude protein (%), average for all feed ingredients (purchased and used home grown feed) (%)

Frac slurry = fraction of nitrogen stored as slurry

Frac solid manure = fraction of nitrogen stored as solid manure

N leaching = total nitrogen leached (kg N/year)

Nex (on range/pasture/paddock) = total nitrogen excreted on range/pasture/paddock (kg N/year)

FracLeach = is the fraction of nitrogen leaching and running off from total N excreted on the soil, by default 0.13 (Bruggen et al. 2023). Only in the case of free-range pig farming, a part of the manure is excreted outside on natural soil and is subject to leaching.

For calculation of methane emissions, first volatile solids excretion shall be calculated.

Calculation 2b: Methane emissions from manure storage and storage of digestate (kg CH₄/year)

Emission of methane [kg CH₄/year] = VStotal x B0 x 0,67 x MCF

If manure removal from storage and manure put in digester Emissions of methane from manure storage and storage of digestate [kg CH₄/year] = VStotal x B0 x 0.67 x (MCF + 0.01)

VStotal = VSfecestotal + VSurine

VSfecestotal = SUM (VSfeces) VSfeces = OSfeed x (100% - VCOSfeed) OSfeed = DMfeed × (1000 - RAS) / 1000

VSurine= TANurine x 60/28

VStotal= total volatile solids (VS) in feces and urine (kg VS/year)

VSfecestotal = total volatile solids in feces from all feed ingredients (purchased and used home grown feed) (kg VS/ year)

VSfeces= volatile solids in the feces (kg VS/year), calculated per feed ingredient (purchased and used home grown feed)

VCOS feed= organic matter digestibility of specific feed ingredient (%)

OSfeed = organic matter of specific feed ingredient (kg/year)

DMfeed= dry matter (dm) content of specific feed ingredient in (kg dm feed ingredient/year)

DMfeed = total kg feed specific feed ingredient (kg year) x dry matter content specific feed ingredient (kg dm/ kg feed ingredient), calculated per feed ingredient (purchased and used home grown feed) RAS = ash content of specific feed ingredient (g ash/kg dm feed ingredient)

VSurine= volatile solids in urine (kg VS/year)

TANurine= calculated in calculation 2a (kg TAN/year)

60/28= molecular weight N in ureum

MCF= methane conversion factor and can be found in table 2.4

B0 = maximum capacity of the manure to produce methane (m³ CH₄/kg VS) (for the Netherlands this is 0.31 (Groenestein et al., 2016))

 $0.67 = \text{density of methane } (\text{kg/m}^3)$

0.01= MCF for a high quality gastight storage of the digestate. Different MCF for storage of digestate with different gastight storage level shall be used from IPCC (Annex 10A.4, table 10A.11, IPCC 2019)

| Calculation 2c: Total GHG emissions manure storage (kg CO2 equivalents/year) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| Emission manure storage in kg CO2e/year | | | | |
| = | | | | |
| Emission methane [kg CH ₄ /year] x 27 | | | | |
| + | | | | |
| Emission nitrous oxide [kg N ₂ O/year] x 273 | | | | |

Calculation 3. Enteric fermentation from pigs on the farm of the sow breeding system

Calculation 3a shows how emissions from enteric fermentation should be calculated and calculation 3b how emissions from enteric fermentation may be calculated.

Calculation 3a: Emissions from enteric fermentation from pigs (kg CO₂ equivalents/year)

Emission of enteric methane [kg CH₄/year] = GE x ($Y_m/100$) / 55.65

GE= feed ingredients x GE feed

Convert to CO₂ equivalents by multiplying by 27

CO2 equivalents from all pigs/year

GE= total gross energy intake from all feed ingredients (purchased and used home grown feed) (MJ/year)

Ym = methane conversion factor, per cent of gross energy in feed converted to methane (0.6) 55.65 = energy content of methane (MJ/kg CH₄)

Feed ingredients = total purchased feed and used home grown feed (kg feed/year) GE feed = average gross energy content total feed ingredients (purchased feed and used home grown feed) (MJ/ kg feed)





Calculation 4. GHG emissions from use of energy and water on the farm of the sow breeding system

Calculation 4 shows how emission from energy and water use on farm shall be calculated. For every type of energy use (e.g. green, grey electricity, or own produced energy and used from solar panels), emissions shall be calculated separately and subsequently summed to total emissions from use of energy and water on farm.

Calculation 4: GHG emissions (kg CO₂ equivalents/year) from the use of energy and water on the sow breeding farm of the sow breeding system



Emissions from leakage from the digester are allocated to energy production. If energy is used from the digester by the farmer, a farm specific emission factor should be calculated.

Value of CO_2 -e per unit of own produced energy from digester = methane production digester x 0.043 / energy production x 27

Methane production = methane production from digester (m³ CH₄/year) 0.043 = fraction leakage from digester (Bruggen et al., 2023) Energy production = energy produced by digester (MJ/year) It can be expected that maximum methane production in the digester is 95% (Bruggen et al., 2023). Methane production from the digester is calculated as:

Methane production of the digester = VStotal * B0 x $0.67 \times (0.95 - MCF)$

VS total= total volatile solids (VS) in feces and urine (kg VS/year) MCF= methane conversion factor and can be found in table 2.4. Storage time includes pre-storage time at the digester.

B0 = maximum capacity of the manure to produce methane (m³ CH₄/kg VS) (for the Netherlands this is 0.31)(Groenestein et al., 2016))

 $0.67 = \text{density of methane } (\text{kg/m}^3)$

Calculation 5. Total GHG emissions from the piglets (allocation) of the sow breeding system

All emissions are calculated in calculations 1 to 4. Therefore, first all emissions until the farm shall be summed (calculation 5a).

Calculation 5a: Total GHG emissions (kg CO₂ equivalents/year) of the sow breeding system Total CO₂ equivalents of the sow breeding system =

Calculation 1 + Calculation 2 + Calculation 3 + Calculation 4

At the breeding farm, sows and piglets leave the farm. To allocate emissions between piglets and sows, economic allocation shall be applied (calculation 5b).

Calculation 5b: allocation factor to piglets and sows

Allocation (fraction) to piglets=

Economic value piglets / (economic value sows + economic value rearing sows + economic value piglets)

Allocation (fraction) to sows=

Economic value sows / (economic value sows + economic value rearing sows + economic value piglets)

Economic value piglets = number of sold piglets/year x economic value piglets Economic value sows = number of sold sows/year x economic value sows Economic value rearing sows = number of sold rearing sows/year x economic value rearing sows

Suppose the sold piglets represent 95% of the total economic value, then that percentage should be allocated to the piglets. The remainder will be allocated to the sows. Subsequently emissions will be expressed per kg live weight of piglet (calculation 5c) and per kg liveweight of sow (calculation 5d).

Calculation 5c: Total GHG emissions per kg live weight piglet (kg CO_2e/kg live weight) of the sow breeding system

Total CO₂ equivalents one kg piglet (kg CO₂e/kg live weight) = Total CO₂ equivalents on the sow breeding farm x Allocation (fraction) to piglets / (number of sold piglets x average kg live weight per sold piglet)

Calculation 5d: Total GHG emissions per kg live weight sow (kg CO₂e/kg live weight) of the sow breeding system

Total CO₂ equivalents one kg sow (kg CO₂e/kg live weight) = Total CO₂ equivalents on the sow breeding farm x Allocation (fraction) to sows / (number of sold sows x average weight per sold sow)

Calculation 6. GHG emissions during transport of piglets to the pig fattening system

Calculation 6 shows how emission from transport of piglets to the pig fattening farm shall be calculated. These emissions are included at the pig fattening system.

Calculation 6: GHG emissions (kg CO₂ equivalents/year) from transport piglets from sow breeding system to pig fattening system

Average km (transport distance from sow breeding system to pig fattening farm)

x total weight of piglets transported in the relevant year (tonnes)

value for CO₂ equivalents per tonnekm

Total CO₂ equivalents for transport of all piglets in that year

Calculation 7. GHG emissions from feed production of the pig fattening system

This calculation is the same as calculation 1 of the sow breeding system

Calculation 8. GHG emissions from manure storage of the pig fattening system

This calculation is the same as calculation 2 of the sow breeding system

Calculation 9. GHG emissions from enteric fermentation of pigs on the farm of the pig fattening system

This calculation is the same as calculation 3 of the sow breeding system

Calculation 10. GHG emissions from use of energy and water on the farm of the pig fattening system

This calculation is the same as calculation 4 of the sow breeding system

Calculation 11. Total GHG emissions of the pig fattening system

All emissions until pig fattening system are calculated in calculation 5 to 10. Therefore, first all emissions until the farm shall be summed (calculation 11a).

Calculation 11a: Total GHG emissions (kg CO₂ equivalents/year) of the pig fattening system

 $\label{eq:constraint} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Total CO}_2 \mbox{ equivalents at the pig fattening system =} \\ \mbox{Calculation 5c x kg live weight purchased piglets + Calculation 6 + Calculation 7 + Calculation 8 +} \\ \mbox{Calculation 9 + Calculation 10} \end{array}$

Subsequently emissions shall be expressed per kg live weight (calculation 11b)

Calculation 11b: Total GHG emissions per kg live weight growing finishing pigs (kg CO₂e/kg live weight)

Total CO₂ equivalents one kg growing finishing pig (kg CO₂e/kg live weight) =

Total CO₂ equivalents at the pig fattening system /(number of sold growing finishing pigs x average kg live weight per sold growing finishing pig)

Calculation 12. GHG emissions from transport of the growing finishing pigs to the slaughterhouse

Calculation 12 shows how emission from transport of growing finishing pigs from fattening pig system to slaughterhouse shall be calculated. These emissions are included at the slaughterhouse stage.

Calculation 12: GHG emissions (kg CO₂ equivalents/year) from transport of growing finishing pigs of pig fattening system to slaughterhouse

Average km (transport distance finishing from pig fattening system to slaughterhouse)

x total weight of growing finishing pigs transported in the relevant year (tonnes)

х

value for CO_2 equivalents per tonnekm

Total CO₂ equivalents for transport of all growing finishing pigs in that year

Calculation 13. GHG emissions from use of energy and water in the slaughterhouse

Calculation 13: GHG emissions (kg CO_2 equivalents/year) from the use of energy and water in the slaughterhouse



Calculation 14. Total GHG emissions at the slaughterhouse gate (allocation)

Total emissions at the slaughterhouse are calculated by summing calculation 11 to 13. (calculation 14a).

Calculation 14a: Total GHG emissions (kg CO₂ equivalents/year) at the slaughterhouse gate Total CO₂ equivalents at the slaughterhouse gate =

Calculation 11b x total kg live weight growing finishing pigs + Calculation 12 + Calculation 13

At the slaughterhouse, the output is fresh meat and by-products. Total GHG emissions are expressed per kg fresh meat. To allocate emissions between fresh meat and by-products, economic allocation shall be applied (calculation 14b). Mass fraction fresh meat and economic allocation factors are fixed (table 2.2).

Calculation 14b: Total GHG emissions (kg CO₂ equivalents/kg fresh meat) at the slaughterhouse gate Total CO₂ equivalents growing finishing pigs (kg CO₂e/kg fresh meat) =

Total CO_2 equivalents at the slaughterhouse gate / mass fraction fresh meat x economic allocation

4

Strengths and limitations of this guideline

This guideline provides a method how to calculate the (cradle-to-slaughterhouse-gate) CFP of Dutch pig meat. With this guideline the sector can calculate the GHG emissions of its products in a consistent way, so that results are comparable. This is very important for communicating results to other stakeholders and consumers. The Dutch pork sector can use this guide to communicate their CFP.

In this guideline, we follow the PEF guidelines (EC, 2021) as much as possible. For feed production, the PEFcr feed was followed. The PEF guidelines, however, do not describe the minimum TIER level for calculation of emissions from enteric fermentation or manure storage in pig production. We, therefore, included the highest TIER level (TIER 2) to calculate these emissions that is currently available for pig production (e.g. TIER 3 level for enteric fermentation is not available). In addition, country specific emission factors or calculation methods were used in this guideline if these are proved to be more accurate for the Dutch situation than the IPCC method.

This guideline may also be used by other countries. We, then, recommend to analyse whether the country specific emission factors used in this guideline are also applicable for other countries. A data quality system is not yet included, although this should be included, according to the PEF guidelines. We advise the pig sector to further develop this over the next few years and, if necessary, to include it in the guideline. Moreover, the system boundary can be extended until end-of life and number of environmental impact categories may be extended. Moreover, there are still many discussions about allocation method (economic, mass, system expansion) and functional unit (kg product, kg protein, nutritional value). Decisions made about this become especially important when different type of products are compared. We, therefore, emphasize that it is not recommend to use the results from this guideline for comparison of different type of products and if so, caution should be taken when interpreting this comparison.

This guideline may become outdated after some time, because emission factors from e.g. energy production may change, calculation rules may change (e.g. enteric methane) or more detailed (emissions) data become available. Moreover, although we tried to be as clear as possible, users of this guideline may experience unclear or new situations in practice. Therefore, we recommend to update this guideline every two year.

5 References and websites

- Bondt, N., T. Ponsioen, L. Puister-Jansen, T. Vellinga, D. Urdu en R.M. Robbemond, 2020. Carbon footprint pig production; DATA-FAIR report on exchange of sustainability information in the pork supply chain. Wageningen, Wageningen Economic Research, Report 2020-011. 52 pp.; 6 fig.; 16 tab.;20 ref.
- Booijen, M., J.P. Wagensveld, J.W. van Riel, R.M. de Mol, A.J.A. Aarnink, 2023. Emissiereductie methaan, ammoniak en geur in varkensstallen met dagontmesting. Wageningen Livestock Research rapport 1405.
- Bruggen, C. van, A. Bannink, A. Bleeker, D.W. Bussink, H.J.C. van Dooren, C.M. Groenestein, J.F.M. Huijsmans, J. Kros, L.A. Lagerwerf, K. Oltmer, M.B.H. Ros, M.W. van Schijndel, L. Schulte-Uebbing, G.L. Velthof en T.C. van der Zee (2023). *Emissies naar lucht uit de landbouw berekend met NEMA voor 1990-2021.* Wageningen, WOT Natuur & Milieu, WOt-technical report 242
- BSI, 2011. PAS 2050:2011 Specification for the assessment of the life cycle greenhouse gas emissions of goods and services. British Standards Institution, London. 1–45.
- EC, 2021. COMMISSION RECOMMENDATION on the use of the Environmental Footprint methods to measure and communicate the life cycle environmental performance of products and organisations. Annex I. Product Environmental Footprint Method. Official Journal of the European Union, Brussel, 2021.
- EDA, 2018. Product Environmental Footprint Category Rule for Dairy Products. http://ec.europa.eu/environment/eussd/smgp/pdf/PEFCR-DairyProducts 2018-04-25 V1.pdf
- FEFAC, 2018. PEFCR Feed for food producing animals. Version 4.1, April 2018. Date of expiration: 31st December 2020.
- FAO, 2016. Environmental performance of animal feeds supply chains: Guidelines for assessment. Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance Partnership. FAO, Rome, Italy.
- FAO, 2018. Environmental performance of pig supply chains: Guidelines for assessment (Version 1). Livestock Environmental Assessment and Performance Partnership. Rome, FAO. 172 pp.
- GHG Protocol, 2024. https://ghgprotocol.org/. Accessed 1 March 2024.
- Groenestein, C.M., J. Mosquera, R.W. Melse, 2016. *Methaanemissie uit mest; Schatters voor biochemisch methaan potentieel (BMP) en methaanconversiefactor (MCF)*. Wageningen Livestock Research, Rapport 961.
- IPCC, 2006. Emissions from livestock and manure management, Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories, Geneva, Switzerland.
- IPCC, 2019. 2019 Refinement to the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories. Volume 4: Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use.
- IPCC, 2021. Climate Change 2021: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Masson-Delmotte, V., P. Zhai, A. Pirani, S.L. Connors, C. Péan, S. Berger, N. Caud, Y. Chen, L. Goldfarb, M.I. Gomis, M. Huang, K. Leitzell, E. Lonnoy, J.B.R. Matthews, T.K. Maycock, T. Waterfield, O. Yelekçi, R. Yu, and B. Zhou (eds.)]

- ISO, 2006a. ISO 14040 International Standard. In: Environmental Management Life Cycle Assessment – Principles and Framework. International Organisation for Standardization, Geneva, Switzerland. http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail?csnumber=37456
- ISO, 2006b. ISO 14044 International Standard. In: Environmental Management Life Cycle Assessment – Requirements and Guidelines. International Organisation for Standardisation, Geneva, Switzerland. http://www.iso.org/iso/catalogue_detail?csnumber=38498
- ISO, 2018. Greenhouse gases- Carbon footprint of products- Requirements and guidelines for quantification. International Organisation for Standardisation, Geneva, Switzerland. https://www.iso.org/standard/71206.html
- Kool, A. and F. Gort, 2024. Vergelijking carbon footprint modellen Nederlands varkensvlees. Kool Planet, Gouda, the Netherlands.
- Mostert P.F., I. Huisman, M. Maaoui, P. Gual Rojas, W. Hennen 2023. Greenhouse gas emissions of pig production in the Netherlands; A trend analysis from 1990 to 2020 by using life cycle assessment. Wageningen Livestock Research, Public report 1435.
- SFR, 2024. Schothorst Feed Research. Personal communication of feed compositions and nutritional values which were processed and adapted by Wageningen Livestock Research. 8 July, 2024.
- UECBV et al., 2016. PEFCR Red Meat Version 1.4; Draft version 1.4 for public consultation of 29 July 2016. Technical Secretariat for the Red Meat Pilot.
- UECBV et al., 2019. Footprint Category Rules Red Meat; Version 1.0. of July 2019. Technical Secretariat for the Red Meat Pilot.
- Vellinga, Th.V., I. Huisman, P. Mostert, 2023. Inventarisatie activiteiten carbon footprint in de varkenssector en -keten. Wageningen Livestock Research, Openbaar Rapport 1416.
- Vellinga T.V., Blonk H., Marinussen M., Van Zeist W.J., De Boer I.J.M., 2013. Methodology used in feedprint: a tool quantifying greenhouse gas emissions of feed production and utilization. Version 20.00. Wageningen UR Livestock research, Lelystad, the Netherlands.
- Vermeij, I., Blanken, K., Evers, A. G., Groeneveld, I. L., Ouweltjes, W., Verkaik, J.
 C., & Wemmenhove, H. KWIN 2023-2024. Kwantitatieve Informatie Veehouderij. Wageningen Livestock Research. 408 p. (Handboek / Wageningen Livestock Research; no. 46)
- Zampori, L. and R. Pant, 2019. Suggestions for updating the Product Environmental Footprint (PEF) method. EUR 29682 EN, Publications Office of the European Union, Luxembourg, 2019, ISBN 978-92-76-00654-1, doi:10.2760/424613, JRC115959.
- Zom, R.L.G., and C.M. Groenestein (2015). Excretion of volatile solids by livestock to calculate methane production from manure, *RAMIRAN 2015*, 16th International Conference Rural-Urban Symbiosis, 8th-10th September 2015, Hamburg, Germany.

Appendix I: Overview of data requirements and sources

In this appendix, required activity data and background data are described. Input data, units, sources and supplementary information are described. For some input data, users can choice between primary data (farm/company specific) or secondary data (external databases and defaults). In all cases, the preferred option is primary data.

| Tuble A.L Required delivity data for recu production for the solv precuing and pig fatterning syste | Table A.1 | Required activity | / data for feed | production i | for the sow l | breeding a | and pig | fattening s | vsten |
|--|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------|-------------|-------|
|--|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|------------|---------|-------------|-------|

| Unit | Source data | Supplementary information |
|-------------------|---|--|
| Kg/year | Primary | For all types of feed |
| In %/feed/country | Primary or default country market | For all types of feed |
| | mix | |
| Kg/year | Primary | For all types of feed |
| | | If GLFI database is used |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| Amount/year | Primary | |
| | | |
| MJ/year | Primary or secondary | Include all type of energy use |
| km | Secondary | Defaults from FEFAC, 2018 |
| | | |
| km | Primary or secondary | If primary, include type of |
| | | transport. If secondary, defaults |
| | | from FEFAC, 2018 |
| | Unit Kg/year In %/feed/country Kg/year Amount/year MJ/year km | UnitSource dataKg/yearPrimaryIn %/feed/countryPrimary or default country market mixKg/yearPrimaryKg/yearPrimaryAmount/yearPrimaryMJ/yearPrimary or secondarykmSecondarykmPrimary or secondary |

Table A.2Required background data for feed production for the sow breeding and pig fattening
system.

| 5,500111 | | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Parameter | Unit | Source data | Supplementary information |
| Emissions feed production | Kg CO ₂ -e/kg feed, | Nevedi list or GFLI database | For all types of feed, purchased or |
| | with sub | | produced on farm. If the feed |
| | categories: fossil | | ingredient is not available on Nevedi |
| | (excl peat), fossil | | list/ GFLI database, than a group |
| | peat, biogenic, | | average of product groups shall be |
| | land use change, | | taken, or FeedPrint (Vellinga et al., |
| | total | | 2013) should be used. |
| Emissions feed production | kg CO₂e/kg feed | Optional: Default values (SFR, | If users do not use Nevedi list or |
| | | 2024) | GFLI database, this shall be |
| | | | mentioned when reporting GHG |
| | | | emissions. It is not recommended |
| | | | to use default values. |
| | | | The following default values shall be |
| | | | used per kg feed ingredient |
| | | | (compound (88% dm/kg), single |
| | | | (88% dm/kg), wet-byproducts (in |
| | | | 88% dm/kg)): |
| | | | Weaner 1: 1.443 |
| | | | Weaner 2: 1.400 |
| | | | Pig 25-50 kg: 0.769 |
| | | | Pig 50-85 kg: 0.744 |
| | | | Pig 85-120 kg: 0.711 |
| | | | Sows (non-lactating): 0.909 |
| | | | Sows (lactating): 0.795 |

| | | | Rearing sows: 0.909 |
|----------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| | | | Boars: 0.909 |
| Optional (this requires | | | If GLFI database is used |
| additional calculations to | | | |
| be performed by user of | | | |
| this guide) | | | |
| Transport feed | CO₂e/kg feed | GFLI (2023) | https://globalfeedlca.org/wp- |
| ingredients to feed mill | | | content/uploads/2023/01/GFLI- |
| | | | Methodology-and-Project- |
| | | | Guidelines.V2.pdf |
| Transport feed | CO₂e/kg feed | If activity data primary than GLFI | Primary, type of transport |
| ingredients feed mill to | | (2023), else default (6.5 g CO2- | |
| farm | | e/kg feed) | |
| Energy use at feed mill | CO₂e/kg feed | FEFAC, 2018 | |

Table A.3Required activity data for the sow breeding system.

| Parameter | Unit | Source data | Supplementary information |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|---|
| Number of sold piglets per year | # sold piglets per year | Primary | |
| Number of sold sows per year | # sold sows per year | Primary | |
| Slaughter weight sows | kg live weight per sold sow | Primary | |
| Total weight piglets | kg live weight sold piglets | Primary | |
| Weight animals | kg live weight/ animal | <i>Optional default (5 years average from <u>Dierlijke mest en</u> <u>mineralen 2022 CBS)</u></i> | Piglet: 25.9 Sows: 230 Rearing sows: 145 Boars: 325 |
| Electricity (green and grey) | kWh/year | Primary | Electricity used from the grid |
| Natural gas | m3/year | Primary | |
| Diesel, LPG, Gasoline | Litre/year | Primary | In case of fuels used for the own production of feed raw materials, alternatively, a CFP value of the feed raw material can be used. |
| Tap water | m³/year | Primary | |
| Energy used from own solar panels | kWh/year | Primary | |
| Energy used from own wind turbine | kWh/year | Primary | |
| Energy used from own digester | MJ/year | Primary | |
| Energy produced by own digester | MJ/year | Primary | |
| Feed ingredients for sows | kg/year/sows | Primary | Quantities and origin of feed ingredients |
| Feed ingredients for piglets | kg/year/piglets | Primary | Quantities and origin of feed ingredients |
| Straw | kg/year/sow | Primary | |
| Nutritional values of feed | | | For all feed |
| Crude protein level | g CP/kg feed | Primary | Use CVB table to calculate, or from feed company |
| Digestibility crude protein | % VCRE | Primary | Use CVB table to calculate, or from feed company |
| Digestibility crude protein | % VCRE | Optional defaults (SFR, 2024) | The following default values shall be used per feed ingredient (compound, single, wet-byproducts): Weaner 1: 74.4 Weaner 2: 75.5 Sows (non-lactating): 59.4 Sows (lactating): 73.0 |

| | | | Rearing sows: 78.6 (Bruggen et al., 2023) Boars: 75.7 (Bruggen et al., 2023) |
|--|------------------------------------|--|---|
| Dry matter | g dry matter/kg feed | Primary | Use CVB table to calculate, or from feed company |
| Ash level | g RAS/kg dm feed | Primary | Use CVB table to calculate, or from feed company |
| Ash level | g RAS /kg feed (88% dm) | Optional default (SFR, 2024) | The following default values shall be used per kg feed ingredient (compound (88% dm/kg), single (88% dm/kg), wet-byproducts (88% dm/kg)): Weaner 1: 50 Weaner 2: 47 Sows (non-lactating): 46 Sows (lactating): 54 Rearing sows: 46 Boars: 46 |
| Digestibility organic matter | % VCOS | Primary | Use CVB table to calculate, or from feed company |
| Digestibility organic matter Gross Energy feed Gross Energy feed | % VCOS MJ/kg feed MJ/kg feed | Optional defaults (SFR, 2024) Primary Optional default (SFR, 2024) | The following default values shall be used per feed ingredient (compound, single, wet-byproducts): Weaner 1: 82.3 Weaner 2: 81.8 Sows (non-lactating): 77.9 Sows (lactating): 78.8 Rearing sows: 83.1 (Bruggen et al., 2023) Boars: 81.8 (Bruggen et al., 2023) The following default values shall be used per kg feed ingredient (compound (88% dm/kg), single (88% dm/kg), wet-byproducts (in 88% |
| Manure management | Fraction per type | Primary | dm/kg)): Weaner 1: 16.7 Weaner 2: 16.6 Sows (non-lactating): 16.4 Sows (lactating): 16.5 Rearing sows: 16.4 Boars: 16.4 Duration of the storage |
| | of manure management | - , | |
| Nitrogen content animals | Nitrogen /kg live weight | Defaults, Dierlijke mest en mineralen | <u>Dierlijke mest en mineralen</u> <u>2022 CBS</u> (table 4.2.1) |
| Prices of sows, rearing sows, piglets | € animal | Defaults based on 5 year averages from KWIN 2023-2024 | €47.50 per piglet of 25 kg, €1.30 per kg higher or lower weight of the piglet, €181.84 per sow of 230 kg live weight, €146.26 per rearing sow (6 months of age). |

Table A.4Required background data for the sow breeding system.

| Parameter | Unit | Source data | Supplementary information |
|---|---|--|---|
| Emissions feed production | CO₂e/kg feed | Explained in table A.2 | |
| Electricity from grid | CO₂e/kWh | Groene and grijze stroom STREAM Personenvervoer 2022 (ce.nl) | Table 49, includes all emissions |
| Energy used from own solar panels | CO₂e/kWh | Zonne-energie www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | Include emissions from building and end-of life |
| Energy used from own wind turbine | CO₂e/kWh | Windkracht www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | Include emissions from building and end-of life |
| Energy used from own digester | CO₂e/kWh | Primary, own calculation | See chapter 3 (calculation 4) |
| Natural gas | CO ₂ e/m ³ | www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | Use WTW |
| Diesel, LPG, Gasoline | CO ₂ e/litre | www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | Be specific for all type of energy and use WTW |
| Tap water | CO ₂ e /m ³ | ELCD database | |
| Methane conversion factor for different manure management system and storage time | share of Bo that will actually be converted into methane | Table 2.4 | |
| NH ₃ emission factor per housing type | % NH ₃ -N/TAN | Table 2.3 | |
| N ₂ O emissions factor different manure management system and storage time | kg N₂O-N/kg N | Table 2.4 | |
| NO emissions factor different manure management system and storage time | kg NO-N/kg N | Table 2.4 | |

| | Table A.5 | Required activity | ty data for the | pig fattening | system |
|--|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|
|--|-----------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------|--------|

| Parameter | Unit | Source data | Supplementary information |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Weight animals | kg live weight/animal | Primary | |
| Weight animals | kg live weight/animal | Optional default (5 years | Piglet:25.9 |
| | | average from <u>Dierlijke mest en</u> | Growing finishing pig:123.6 |
| | | <u>mineralen 2022 CBS)</u> | |
| Sold growing finishing pigs | # animals/year | Primary | |
| Number of piglets from | # animals/year | Primary | |
| breeding system | | | |
| Transport piglets | km | Primary or default (100 km) | EURO number (based on load |
| | | | capacity and load fraction) |
| Electricity (green and grey) | kWh/year | Primary | Electricity used from the grid |
| Natural gas | m ³ /year | Primary | |
| Diesel, LPG, Gasoline | Litre/year | Primary | In case of fuels used for the |
| | | | own production of feed raw |
| | | | materials, alternatively, a CFP |
| | | | value of the feed raw material |
| | | | can be used. |
| Tap water | m³/year | Primary | |
| Energy used from own solar | kWh/year | Primary | |
| panels | | | |
| Energy used from own wind | kWh/year | Primary | |
| turbine | | | |
| Energy used from own | MJ/year | Primary | |
| digester | | | |
| Energy produced by own | MJ/year | Primary | |
| digester | | | |
| | | | |
| Feed ingredients for growing | kg/year | Primary | Quantity and origin of feed |
| finishing pigs | | | ingredients |
| Straw | kg/year | Primary | |
| Nutritional values of feed | | | For all feed |
| Crude protein level | g CP/kg feed | Primary | Use CVB table to calculate, or |
| | | | from feed company |
| Digestibility crude protein | % VCRE | Primary | Use CVB table to calculate, or |
| | | | from feed company |

| Digestibility crude protein | % VCRE | Optional default (SFR, 2024) | The following default values shall be used per feed ingredient (compound, single, wet-byproducts) Pig 25-50 kg: 75.5 Pig 50-85 kg: 76.2 Pig 85-120 kg: 75.6 |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|
| Dry matter | g dry matter/kg feed | Primary | Use CVB table to calculate, or from feed company |
| Ash level | g RAS/kg dm feed | Primary | Use CVB table to calculate, or from feed company |
| Ash level | g RAS /kg feed (88% dm) | Optional default (SFR, 2024) | The following default values shall be used per kg feed ingredient (compound (88% dm/kg), single (88% dm/kg), wet-byproducts (in 88% dm/kg)): Pig 25-50 kg: 45 Pig 50-85 kg: 40 Pig 85-120 kg:36 |
| Digestibility organic matter | % VCOS | Primary | Use CVB table to calculate, or from feed company |
| Digestibility organic matter | % VCOS | Optional default (SFR, 2024) | The following default values shall be used per kg feed ingredient (compound, single, wet-byproducts): Pig 25-50 kg: 80.0 Pig 50-85 kg: 82.0 Pig 85-120 kg: 81.8 |
| Gross Energy feed | MJ/kg feed | Primary | |
| Gross Energy feed | MJ/kg feed | Optional default (SFR, 2024) | The following default values shall be used per kg feed ingredient (compound (88% dm/kg), single (88% dm/kg), wet-byproducts (in 88% dm/kg)): Pig 25-50 kg: 16.7 Pig 50-85 kg: 16.5 Pig 85-120 kg: 16.2 |
| Manure management | Fraction per type of manure management | Primary | Duration of the storage |
| Nitrogen content animals | Nitrogen /kg live weight | Defaults, Dierlijke mest en mineralen | <u>Dierlijke mest en mineralen</u> 2022 CBS (table 4.2.1) |

Table A.6Required background data for pig fattening system.

| Parameter | Unit | Source data | Supplementary information |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Emissions feed production | CO₂e/kg feed | Explained in table A.2 | |
| Emissions from purchased piglets | CO₂e/kg piglet | Primary (from sow breeding system) | |
| Emissions from purchased piglets | CO₂e/kg piglet | Optional default | 3.29 kg CO ₂ e/kg live weight piglet based on Mostert et al. (2023) |
| Electricity from grid | CO₂e/kWh | Groene and grijze stroom STREAM Personenvervoer 2022 (ce.nl) | Table 49, includes all emissions |
| Energy used from own solar panels | CO₂e/kWh | Zonne-energie www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | Include emissions from building and end-of life |
| Energy used from own wind turbine | CO₂e/kWh | Windkracht www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | Include emissions from building and end-of life |
| Energy used from own digester | CO ₂ -e/kWh | Primary, own calculation | See chapter 3 |
| Natural gas | CO ₂ e /m ³ | www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | Use WTW |
| Diesel, LPG, Gasoline | CO2e /Litre | www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | Be specific for all type of energy and use WTW |

| Tap water | CO ₂ e /m ³ | ELCD database | |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| Transport piglets | CO₂e/ ton km | vrachtwagen 10-20 ton, | Use WTW |
| | | www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | |
| Methane conversion factor for | share of Bo that | Table 2.4 | |
| different manure management | will actually be | | |
| system and storage time | converted into | | |
| | methane | | |
| NH ₃ emission factor per housing | % NH3-N/TAN | Table 2.3 | |
| type | | | |
| N ₂ O emissions factor different | kg N₂O-N/kg N | Table 2.4 | |
| manure management system and | | | |
| storage time | | | |
| NO emissions factor different | kg NO-N/kg N | Table 2.4 | |
| manure management system and | | | |
| storage time | | | |

| Table A.7 | Required | activity | data | for | slaughter | ina |
|-----------|----------|----------|------|-----|-----------|-----|
| | Required | activity | uata | 101 | slaughten | пy. |

| Parameter | Unit | Source data | Supplementary information |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Live weight of slaughter animals | Kg live weight | Primary or secondary | If only carcass weight is available, use the following equation: live weight (kg)= 5,0 + (carcass weight (kg) x 1,21) |
| Mass fraction fresh meat and by products | % /kg live weight | Table 2.2 | |
| Allocation factors | %/kg live weight | Table 2.2 | |
| Electricity | kWh/year | Primary | Electricity used from the grid |
| Natural gas | m3/year | Primary | |
| Diesel, LPG, Gasoline | Litre/year | Primary | |
| Tap water | m ³ /year | Primary | |
| Energy used from own solar panels | kWh/year | Primary | |
| Energy used from own wind turbine | kWh/year | Primary | |
| Transport pigs and sows | km | Primary or default (100 km) | |

| Table A.8 | Required | background | data fo | or slaughtei | ring. |
|-----------|----------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|
|-----------|----------|------------|---------|--------------|-------|

| Parameter | Unit | Source data | Supplementary information |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Electricity from grid | CO₂e/kWh | Groene and grijze stroom | Table 49, includes all emissions |
| | | STREAM Personenvervoer 2022 | |
| | | <u>(ce.nl)</u> | |
| Energy used from own solar | CO ₂ e/kWh | Zonne-energie | Include emissions from building |
| panels | | www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | and end-of life |
| Energy used from own wind | CO₂e/kWh | Windkracht | Include emissions from building |
| turbine | | www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | and end-of life |
| Natural gas | CO ₂ e /m3 | www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | Use WTW |
| Diesel, LPG, Gasoline | CO2e /Litre | www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | Be specific for all type of energy |
| | | | and use WTW |
| Tap water | CO ₂ e /m ³ | ELCD database | |
| Transport sows and growing | CO ₂ e/ ton km | vrachtwagen 10-20 ton, | Use WTW |
| finishing pigs | | www.co2emissiefactoren.nl | |

To explore the potential of nature to improve the quality of life



Wageningen Livestock Research P.O. Box 338 6700 AH Wageningen The Netherlands T +31 (0)317 48 39 53 E info.livestockresearch@wur.nl www.wur.nl/livestock-research Wageningen Livestock Research creates science based solutions for a sustainable and profitable livestock sector. Together with our clients, we integrate scientific knowledge and practical experience to develop livestock concepts for future generations.

Wageningen Livestock Research is part of Wageningen University & Research. Together we work on the mission: 'To explore the potential of nature to improve the quality of life'. A staff of 6,500 and 10,000 students from over 100 countries are working worldwide in the domain of healthy food and living environment for governments and the business community-at-large. The strength of Wageningen University & Research lies in its ability to join the forces of specialised research institutes and the university. It also lies in the combined efforts of the various fields of natural and social sciences. This union of expertise leads to scientific breakthroughs that can quickly be put into practice and be incorporated into education. This is the Wageningen Approach.

